

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,734	02/09/2004		Wataru Ishikawa	KOY-17	9212
20311	7590 11/09/2006			EXAMINER	
LUCAS & N		•	. MARTIN, LAURA E		
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH 15TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2853	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/774,734	ISHIKAWA, WATARU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura E. Martin	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accention and applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b)☐ objected to by the I		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , ,	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/774,734

Art Unit: 2853

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chatterjee et al. (US 5985984) in view of Noguchi et al. (US 20020065335).

## Chatterjee et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 4: a light curable aqueous resin composition (column 1, lines 12-21 and column 2, lines 20-25) comprising a polymerizable compound, and an aqueous photopolymerization initiator which generates free radicals by active ray (column 7, lines 4-35) and a non-ionic surfactant (column 7, line 65-column 8, lines 23).

#### Chaterjee et al. does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 4: a polymerizable compound which polymerizes with radical polymerization by water and active ray.

As per claims 7 and 9: jetting onto an unabsorbant recording material.

As per claims 8 and 10: jetting jetting onto an absorbent recording material.

As per claims 11 and 12: the amount of an organic solvent being 0 to 5%.

## Noguchi et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 1 and 4: a polymerizable compound which polymerizes with radical polymerization by water and active ray [0002] and [0027].

Application/Control Number: 10/774,734

Art Unit: 2853

As per claims 7 and 9: jetting onto an unabsorbant recording material [0012].

As per claims 8 and 10: jetting jetting onto an absorbent recording material [0054].

As per claims 11 and 12: the amount of an organic solvent being 0 to 5% [0123].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink taught by Chaterjee et al. with the disclosure of Noguchi et al. in order to print with an ink jet printer high quality color images.

Claims 2, 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chatterjee et al. (US 5985984) and Noguchi et al. (US 20020065335) and further in view of Owatari et al. (US 6095645).

# Chatterjee et al. and Noguchi et al. do not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claims 2 and 5: a non-ionic surfactant is fluorine system surfactant comprising a perfluoroalkyl group in a molecule.

As per claims 3 and 6: the content of the non-ionic surfactant is 10 to 10000 ppm.

Owatari et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claims 2 and 5: a non-ionic surfactant is fluorine system surfactant comprising a perfluoroalkyl group in a molecule (column 3, lines 64).

As per claims 3 and 6: the content of the non-ionic surfactant is 10 to 10000 ppm (column 4, line 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/774,734 Page 4

Art Unit: 2853

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink taught by Chaterjee et al. as modified with the disclosure of Owatari et al. in order to provide a stable ink composition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER Application/Control Number: 10/774,734

Art Unit: 2853

Page 5

## Specification:

# Page: 1:



Line: 26 changed "\_\_\_\_\_\_" to --09/771,251--; changed "(Docket 81821) filed of even date herewith" to --filed January 26,2001--,

Line: 28 changed "\_\_\_\_\_\_" to --09/770,122--; changed "(Docket 81893) filed of even date herewith" to --filed January 26,2001--,

Page: 2:

Line: 1 changed "\_\_\_\_\_\_" to --09/772,097--; changed "(Docket 81894) filed of even date herewith" to --filed January 26,2001--,

Line: 3 changed "\_\_\_\_\_\_" to --09/770,431--; changed "(Docket 81983) filed of even date herewith" to --filed January 26,2001--,

The following examiner's amendment is provided to correct the abstract in accordance with MPEP 608.01(b).

The application has been amended as follows:

# **Abstract:**

Line: 3 changed "comprising" to --including--.

Line: 6 changed "comprising" to --including--.

Line: 8 changed "comprising" to --including--.

Line: 11 changed "comprising" to --including--.